

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI
Delhi

Crl. Appli. 32 of 2019

Date: 10.10.2019

In the matter of:

Ramesh Yadav & Ors.Petitioners
v.
State (N.C.T. Of Delhi)Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rupesh Singh
Hon'ble Ms. Justice Anil K. Thakur

Present: Mr. Ashish Dhoundiyal, Ld. AGA for the State
Ms. Harshita Rai, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioners.

Heard Ld. Counsel for petitioners Ms. Harshita Rai and Ld. Additional Government Advocate Mr. Ashish Dhoundiyal appearing on behalf of State.

Heard Ld. Counsel for the petitioners who submit that since the details of the alleged offence have not been provided in the F.I.R., therefore, the F.I.R. should be quashed. Reliance was placed by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners on the judgment of *State of Haryana v. Bhajan Lal AIR 1992 SC 604*, where the Hon'ble apex court held that if the content of F.I.R. is not clear or absurd or inherently improbable that no prudent man could ever reach to the conclusion, F.I.R. is liable to be quashed. This court is of the opinion that the F.I.R. No. 026/19 u/s 302/307/120B/34 IPC, 1860 registered in Wazirabad Police Station was lodged on the basis of PCR call and therefore the name of the accused and the description of the offence are

written in the F.I.R. that, in no case, can be referred as absurd or highly improbable. This court is not inclined to issue any order to quash the aforesaid F.I.R. The petition stands dismissed with no cost to the parties. However, the police is directed to file the documents related to the case along with the chargesheet as soon as possible. The petition stands dismissed with no cost to parties.



(Rupesh Singh, J.)
(Anil K. Thakur, J.)

High court of Delhi
Delhi: 10.10.2019

